

Questions Arising from Correlative Rights Subcommittee Meeting

February 22, 2017

1. Is it necessary to identify potable and brackish zones? Why or why not?
2. At what point in the process must acreage from non-contiguous areas be identified and assigned to validate a footprint?
3. Once identified, can the acreage used to validate a footprint be amended? Must a landowner identify the proposed site of a future well now and reserve that acreage? Should there be a document created to identify the acres used and notification to the District of the acreage and status of the water rights?
4. What length of time will be required for permit holders to validate a footprint? At next permit renewal date? Five years from the adoption of the rule? Longer?
5. Can an entity use 100% of the non-contiguous acreage owned or controlled to validate a footprint?
6. When a permit is amended to increase production from the well with a validated footprint, the additional footprint acreage must be owned or controlled by the permit holder. What happens to the original validated footprint? Does it stay? Does the validated footprint go away and is replaced by contiguous owned or controlled acres?
7. How will we handle hybrid wells, i.e. wells that were historically permitted but have been amended attaching an operating permit to it?
8. Production floor for curtailment?
9. Will a permit holder retain the validated footprint if the existing well is modified but no additional water is requested?