ILL	AUTHOR	STATUS	ACTION REQUESTED	COMMENTS
HB 180	Lucio	Referred to HNR	Support	Restructures state auditor's audit of GCDs to financial only
HB 645	Lucio	Referred to HNR	Resource	Amends Section 36.116(c) In regulating the production of groundwater based on tract size or acreage, a district shall [may] consider the service needs or service area of the [a] retail public utility that serves the territory where production is regulated by the district. For the purposes of this subsection, "retail public utility" has [shall have] the meaning assigned [provided] by Section 13.002. A district may determine whether it is appropriate to base the production amount on a retail public utility's service needs or service area under this subsection.  So "shall" consider the utility's service needs or area, but District has some discretion whether uses for production acreage purposes
HB 1318	Lucio	Referred to HNR	Resource	Section 36.116(c), Water Code, is amended to read as follows:  (c) In regulating the production of groundwater based on tract size or acreage, a district shall [may] consider the aggregate acreage owned by the retail public utility and the retail public utility's customers inside the district and may subtract permitted wells from that acreage [service needs or service area of a retail public utility]. For the purposes of this subsection, "retail public utility" has [shall have] the meaning assigned [provided] by Section 13.002.  Requires GCDs to use utility's service area's water rights for production acreage requirements.
HB 2215	Price	Filed		Updates DFC timeline in Ch. 16.053 and 36.108, Water Code
HB 2377	Larson	Filed		Brackish bill Concern how TWDB's ability to set DFc on brackish zone affects GCDs' management and DFCs  Also, although TWDB sets brackish DFC, the GCD is the one that gets sued for takings, etc.
HB 2378	Larson	Filed		Same as SB 774 below

HB 3025	King	Filed		Amends 36.118—Well Plugging Statute Allows GCD to require wells to be plugged or capped in 30 days (is now 180 days) for open, uncovered, abandoned, or deteriorated wells
НВ 3028	Burns	Filed		Fair Share bill, amends attorney mandatory attny fees to "may"; says CANNOT restrict exercise of property rights; mandates DFCs to allow highest practicable use of estimated recoverable storage and only "reasonable" conservation; requires permit application evidence re fair share; says GCDs can't issue a permit that "will result in the confiscation by uncompensated drainage of another person's fair share"; allows landowner petition for rulemaking and allows them to file suit against district OR ITS DIRECTORS re rule petition;
HB 3037	Workman	Filed		Creates GMA across complete aquiferssetting up for groundwater authorities like river authorities; Amends DFC process to reflect aquifer wide DFCs
HB 3038	Workman	Filed		Amends DFC process to include an assessment of the brackish groundwater resources of GMA, categorized by salinity; and demonstrates the DFCs achieve balance if highest practicable use and conservation
HB 3043	Workman	Filed		Adds voting or nonvoting member addition to Management Area Planning Groupno voting members should be on anything developing DFC, as only GCDs can be sued for DFCs
HB 3084	Keough	Filed		Requires the Geo-Technology Research Institute to do groundwater models, research and analysis
HB 3417	King, Tracy O.	Filed		Relating to the criteria considered by groundwater conservation districts before granting or denying a permit.
HB 3497	Burns	Filed		Relating to a groundwater conservation district's use of electronic fund transfers
SB 189	Uresti	Referred to Ag/Water	Support	Requires TCEQ and RRC notice to GCDs regarding different types of injection well permit applications
SB 774	Perry	Referred to Ag/Water	Support	Section 36.122, Water Code, is amended by adding Subsections (j-1) and (j-2) and amending Subsection (k) to read as follows:  (j-1) A term under Subsection (i) or (j) shall automatically be

	1	Т	
			extended on or before its expiration:
			(1) to a term that is not shorter than the term of an operating
			permit for the production of water to be transferred that is in effect at the
			time of the extension; and
			(2) for each additional term for which that operating permit
			for production is renewed under Section 36.1145 or remains in effect under
			Section 36.1146.
			(j-2) A permit automatically extended under Subsection (j-1)
			continues to be subject to conditions contained in the permit as issued
			before the automatic extension.
			(k) Notwithstanding the period specified <u>under Subsection</u> [in
			Subsections] (i), [and] (j), or (j-1) during which water may be transferred
			under a permit, a district may periodically review the amount of water that
			may be transferred under the permit and may limit the amount if additional
			factors considered in Subsection (f) warrant the limitation, subject to
			Subsection (c)
			Puts exports permit terms in line with production permits, if separate.
SB 862	Perry	Referred	Relating to the award of attorney's fees and other costs
		to	in certain proceedings involving a groundwater
		Ag/Water	conservation district—loser pays
SB 865	Perry	Filed	Relating to a groundwater conservation district's use of
			electronic fund transfers.
SB 1009	Perry	Filed	Relating to administratively complete permits under CH. 36. Bill is ok as
			is—requires that District can only ask for items/information in application
			process that is in Ch. 36 and GCD rules as time of application.
SB 1053	Perry	Filed	Changes DFC appeal process. Removes 36.1083—the required SOAH
			appeal of DFC—goes straight to court. Expands time frame to appeal.
			Removes substantial evidence appellate review. Requires TWDB to provide
			evaluation of DFC to appellate court.
SB 1175	Hinojosa	Filed	Amends Ch 49, Water Code, for district to be dissolved by election.
			Watch for bills doing this to Chapter 36 districts.

SB 1392	Perry	Filed	- Adds "Common Reservoir" definition to DFCs instead of "the
SD 1372	1 City	Tiled	groundwater resources"
			- Amends 36.0015(b) to require GCDs to "treat each groundwater
			owner overlying a common reservoir fairly"is that "fair share"?
			And act jointly with other GCDs through common rules
			developed by the GMA gcds.
			This will require all GCDs to change their rules now, mid-
			stream, setting up for massive taking claims.
			- Amends 36.002(a) to recognizing the landowners "right to us
			produced groundwater for a beneficial use without causing waste;
			and amends (d) to allow production limits based on amount of land
			owned by the landowner (correlative right system)
			- Amends 36.020(a) to reduce max tax to 37.5 cents/\$100 from 50
			cents
			- Amends 36.0151 to say a river authority rep or employee can/t be a
			director of a GCD
			- Amends 36.062 to say GCD office and meeting places must be
			reasonably accessible to the public who reside in the district
			- Amends 36.101(a) and (c) that GCDS shall (was may) adopt rules
			that do not discriminate based on prior use of gw or where gw is
			proposed to be used (gets rid of historic use? and CRP protection)
			- Amends 36.1071(e),(f)(h) to reflect new "common reservoir"
			wording
			- Amends 36.108(c)(d)(d-1)(d-2)(d-3)(d-4) to add "common reservoir" wording and takes out consideration of "equifor uses and
			reservoir" wording and takes out consideration of "aquifer uses and
			or conditions" and "socioeconomic impact"therefore DFCs
			don't consider state water plan??
			- Clarifies that DFC must be adopted within 60 days of public
			comment period and shall submit explanatory report within 120 days
			of public comment period
			- Amends 36.1083(e) to add "common reservoir" wording
			- Amends 36.1084(b) to require TWDB to report the MAG as the
			total available groundwater for each common reservoir

identified in 36.108
- Amends 36.1085 and 1086 to add "common reservoir" and allow
GCDs in GMA to do joint aquifer monitoring
- Amends 36.113(d) to add that in granting a permit or permit
amendment, a GCD shall make sure the well conforms to GCD's
well spacing and production rules, and takes out that the use be
consistent with MP
- Amends 36.1131(b) to add that permit may include conditions and
restriction under GCD rules under 36.116 (production rules)
- Amends 36.1132 and changes Permits Based on Modeled
Available GW to District Management to Achieve DFCs:
- Requires GCDs over common reservoir to have common rules
on spacing and production; monitoring gw conditions at least
every2 years; and a dfc achieval report; requires joint hearing if
not achieving DFCs(however, it's good not to achieve
DFCs???wording doesn't make sense) and requires GCDs to
adopt rules to achieve DFCs
- Amends 36.114(a)(h) to require a GCD to require a permit or
amendment for
(1) drilling a new or replacement well;
(2) increasing the instantaneous peak production rate
of a well or well system permit;
(3) increasing the authorized annual production
volume of a well or well system permit; and
(4) changing the designated use of water produced
under an existing well or well system permit
- Requires GCD to declare if an application with admin complete
within 30 days
- Amends 36.116(a)(b)(c) to require GCD, in its production rules,
to maintain well spacing or gw production allocation existing
before the effective date of rules (takes out may preserve historic
use).
- Requires district over a common reservoir to adopt the same

production rules and DELETES ability to have different rules
or different geographic area bc of uses or conditions
- Deletes ability to limit the amount of water produced based on
CONTIGUOUS surface area.
- Deletes any limits on water exporting
- Puts 30 year export permit, and deletes 3 year permit if not
constructed
- Amends 36.205(f) to Decrease tax to .37/\$100
- Amends 36.207 to say funds from export fees may be used only
to aquifer monitoring, modeling, researchor "issue payments
to existing permit holders in the district" based on pro rata
share of acreage acreage and "drainable volume"
- Amends 36.4051 (a)(d)
- Repeals various special district enabling act provisions and :
- <b>Definition of</b> "Evidence of historic or existing use"; "Operating
permit" as added by 84 <sup>th</sup> session (it's in 36 twice);
- Repeals 36.002(b-1):
(b-1) The groundwater ownership and rights described by this
section do not:
(1) entitle a landowner, including a landowner's lessees,
heirs, or assigns, to the right to capture a specific amount of groundwater
below the surface of that landowner's land; or
(2) affect the existence of common law defenses or other
defenses to liability under the rule of capture.
- <b>Repeals</b> 36.0151(f) and (g)
(f) Before September 1, 2021, the commission may not create a
groundwater conservation district under this section in a county:
(1) in which the annual amount of surface water used is
more than 50 times the annual amount of groundwater produced;
(2) that is located in a priority groundwater management
area; and
(3) that has a population greater than 2.3 million.
(g) To the extent of a conflict between Subsection (f) and Section

35.012, Subsection (f) prevails.
- <b>Repeals 36.101</b> (a-1) Any rule of a district that discriminates
between land that is irrigated for production and land that was
irrigated for production and enrolled or participating in a federal
conservation program is void.
- Repeals 36.104 PURCHASE, SALE, TRANSPORTATION, AND
DISTRIBUTION OF WATER. A district may purchase, sell,
transport, and distribute surface water or groundwater.
- Repeals 36.1072(g) eliminating appeal bw gcd management plan
and state water plan
- Repeals 36.108(d)(5) re consideration of subsidence
- Repeals 36.113(f), (h), and (i) re PERMITS, AND PERMIT
AMENDMENTS ISSUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 36.1146,
MAY BE ISSUED SUBJECT TO THE RULES PROMULGATED BY THE
DISTRICT AND SUBJECT TO TERMS AND PROVISIONS WITH
REFERENCE TO THE DRILLING, EQUIPPING, COMPLETION,
ALTERATION, OR OPERATION OF, OR PRODUCTION OF
GROUNDWATER FROM, WELLS OR PUMPS THAT MAY BE NECESSARY
TO PREVENT WASTE AND ACHIEVE WATER CONSERVATION,
MINIMIZE AS FAR AS PRACTICABLE THE DRAWDOWN OF THE
WATER TABLE OR THE REDUCTION OF ARTESIAN PRESSURE, LESSEN
INTERFERENCE BETWEEN WELLS, OR CONTROL AND PREVENT
SUBSIDENCE.
(h) In issuing a permit for an existing or historic use, a district may
not discriminate between land that is irrigated for production and land or
wells on land that was irrigated for production and enrolled or participating
in a federal conservation program.
(i) A permitting decision by a district is void if:
(1) the district makes its decision in violation of Subsection
(h); and
(2) the district would have reached a different decision if
the district had treated land or wells on land that was irrigated for
production and enrolled or participating in a federal conservation program
production and emoned of participating in a rederal conservation program

the same as land irrigated for production.
the same as faild irrigated for production.
- Repeals 36.121. LIMITATION ON RULEMAKING POWER OF
DISTRICTS OVER WELLS IN CERTAIN COUNTIES.
- <b>Repeals</b> 36.122(b), (c), (j), (m), and (n
(b) A district may promulgate rules requiring a person to obtain a
permit or an amendment to a permit under Section 36.113 from the district
for the transfer of groundwater out of the district to:
(1) increase, on or after March 2, 1997, the amount of
groundwater to be transferred under a continuing arrangement in effect
before that date; or
(2) transfer groundwater out of the district on or after
March 2, 1997, under a new arrangement.
(c) Except as provided in Section <u>36.113(e)</u> , the district may not
impose more restrictive permit conditions on transporters than the
district imposes on existing in-district users.
(j) A term under Subsection (i)(1) shall automatically be extended to
the terms agreed to under Subsection (i)(2) if construction of a
conveyance system is begun before the expiration of the initial term.
(m) A district may not prohibit the export of groundwater if the
purchase was in effect on or before June 1, 1997.
(n) This section applies only to a transfer of water that is permitted
after September 1, 1997.
SECTION 30. As soon as practicable after the effective date of this
Act, each groundwater conservation district shall adopt rules as necessary to
implement the changes in law made by this Act.
SECTION 31. The changes in law made by this Act apply only to
an application for a permit or a permit amendment that is received by
a groundwater conservation district on or after the effective date of this
Act. An application for a permit or permit amendment that is received
before the effective date of this Act is governed by the law in effect on
the date the application is received, and that law is continued in effect

			for that purpose.  SECTION 32. The changes in law made by this Act apply only to a suit involving a groundwater conservation district that is filed on or after the effective date of this Act. A suit filed before the effective date of this Act is subject to the law in effect on the date the suit is filed, and that law is continued in effect for that purpose.
SB 1528	Filed	Creighton	Relating to the joint planning process for groundwater management.