| Goal/Objective  | Performance Standard  | Status   |
|---|---|--|
| 1a: Permitting of all existing and new non- exempt wells constructed in the District. Encourage registration of exempt wells. | The number of new non-exempt wells permitted in the District.                                 | 5 permitted – February, 2016<br><b>Total 2016</b> - D/O- 13  |
|   | The number of exempt wells registered in the District.  | 5 exempt wells registered (1-Brazos, 2-Robertson, 2-O/G) <b>Total-2016</b> (5-Brazos, 2-Robertson, 2-O/G)  |
| 1b: Regulation of groundwater production by permitted well through metering.  | Number of applications made for permitted use.  | 5 applications – February, 2016<br>Total 2016 – 13   |
|   | Type of application made for permitted use.   | 13 applications – February, 2016<br>3 – Industrial; 2 – Agricultural<br><b>Total 2016</b> - Industrial – 4; Agricultural Use –9;   |
|   | Number of permits issued by the District.   | 5 issued – February, 2016<br><b>Total 2016</b> – 13  |
|   | Type of permit issued by the District.  | 13 permits issued through December, 2016  Total 2016 – Industrial – 4 Agricultural Use – 9;  |
|   | Amount of groundwater permitted (acre ft.)  | 318.97 ac/ft. groundwater permitted – February, 2016<br>Total GW permitted (2016) – 4,486.97 ac-ft.<br><b>Total 2016</b> – BRA – 4,088 ac-ft; Queen City – 39.97 ac-ft; Sparta – 39 ac-ft; |
|   | Amount of actual annual production from each metered well as compared to permitted production | Spreadsheet of total actual production for each metered well (by aquifer) compared to permitted amount of production will be included in the 2016 Annual Report                            |
| 1c: Assessment of available groundwater (by aquifer) using monitoring data collected (water levels/water use/water quality)   | Number of wells in well monitoring network.   | 154 wells  |
|   | Major aquifer wells monitored.  | 94 (Carrizo-Wilcox group)  |
|   | Minor aquifer wells monitored.  | 60 (Queen City/Sparta/Yegua-Jackson/BRA)   |
|   | Water quality tests by aquifer.   | 0 tests<br>Total 2016 – 0  |
|   | Progress Report of groundwater availability.  | Permitted production vs. actual production provided at each permit hearing.  |
| 2a: Water use fees to encourage conservation oriented use.  | Amount of fees generated (Historic/Non-Exempt Operating)                                      | Fees collected 2016 - \$   |

|  | Amount of fees generated by Agricultural Use permits   | Total invoiced 2016 - \$ Fees collected 2016 - \$   |
|--|--|---|
|  | Amount of fees generated by Historic/Non<br>Exempt Industrial Operating Permits  | \$ invoiced for February, 2015  Total invoiced 2016 - \$  |
|  | Amount of fees generated by Historic/Non<br>Exempt Municipal Public Water Supply permits   | \$ invoiced for February, 2015  Total invoiced 2016 - \$  |
|  | Amount of fees generated by Historic/Non<br>Exempt Rural Water Supply Permits  | \$ invoiced for February, 2015  Total invoiced 2016 - \$  |
|  | Amount of fees generated by Steam Electric<br>Generation   | Total invoiced 2016 - \$ Fees collected 2016 - \$   |
|  | Amount of fees generated by transport fees   | Total invoiced 2016 \$0.00<br>Fees collected 2016 - \$0.00  |
|  | Amount of fees generated by BRA fees for 2015  | \$11,389.07 invoiced for 2016  Total invoiced 2016- \$  Fees collected 2016- \$   |
| 2b: Review District Rules to decrease amount of waste.                                   | Annual review of rules for possible amendments addressing reduction of waste.  | Board adopted Rule 7.2 (DFC Enforcement) on 1-14-16.  |
| 2c: Provide information to public and schools on eliminating wasteful practices.         | Website page dedicated to wise use of water.   | District website contains a conservation page dedicated to the wise use of water.   |
|  | Provide water curriculum to local schools and inschool presentations encouraging wise use of water and the significance of aquifers. | 90 copies to Navarro 5 <sup>th</sup> grade 2-3-16 Taught aquifer science/water conservation to 65 5 <sup>th</sup> grade students at Neal Elem. 1-12-16; 80 5 <sup>th</sup> grade at Sam Houston Elem. 1-15-16; 90 5 <sup>th</sup> grade at Navarro Elem. 2-8-16; 45 5 <sup>th</sup> grade at Mumford Elem. 2-19-16; 120 4 <sup>th</sup> grade at South Knoll Elem. 2-25-16; 114 4 <sup>th</sup> grade at Rock Prairie Elem. 3-7 & 3-8-16; 120 4 <sup>th</sup> grade at Green's Prairie Elem. 3-9 & 3-10-16; |
| 3a: Encourage use of surface water supplies to meet needs of user groups in the District | Attend Regional Water Planning Group Meeting (minimum of 1 meeting/year).  |   |
| 4a: Determine if natural spring flows may be impacted by increased groundwater pumping.  | Springs found in District.   |   |
|  | Monitoring wells established when spring flows found.  |   |
| 5a: Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) will be provided to Board members monthly.      | Monthly assessment of drought conditions impacting Drought Contingency Plan.   | Current 2016 PDSI/Crop Moisture Index/State Drought Monitor/U.S. Seasonal Drought Outlook   |

|  |   |                                      | attached. Website drought maps updated weekly.   |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|
|  | Plan triggers.  |                                      | See District Drought Contingency Plan adopted November 8, 2012. Plan to be reviewed September, 2016. |
| 5b: 100% of permittees required by the State of Texas to submit Drought Contingency Plans will submit such plans when applying for a permit for well production. | Review 100% of DCP's w condition is reached as p                              | _                                    |  |
|  | Date severe drought cor   | ndition reached.                     | N/A  |
|  | Number of DCP's to be   | eviewed.                             | 0 reviewed   |
| 5c: Develop a District Drought Contingency Plan (adopted 11-4-10).   | Review for effectiveness and updates annually.                                |                                      | Reviewed, revised and adopted November 8, 2012.  DDCP to be reviewed September, 2016.                |
| 6a: 100% of water permit applicants will submit a water conservation plan or agree to comply with the District Water Conservation Plan.                          | Number of permits for production received requiring water conservation plans. |                                      | 0 permits received — February, 2016  Total for 2016 — 13 permits received                            |
|  | Number of water conse   | rvation plans submitted.             | 0 submitted – February, 2016  Total for 2016 –0 submitted  |
|  | Number of water conse   | rvation plans reviewed.              | 0 reviewed – February, 2016<br><b>Total for 2016</b> – 0 reviewed                                    |
|  | Number of permittees agreeing to abide by District's water conservation plan. |                                      | 5 abiding by DWCP – February, 2016<br>Total for 2016 -13 abiding by DWCP                             |
| evaluation of groundwater supplies.  | Minimum of 2 wells/aqu  | uifer.                               | Outlined by aquifer below. 154 monitoring wells/32 monitored in February, 2016                       |
|  | Brazos River Alluvium   | Number of Monitoring Wells/Number    | 26 wells/25 monitored<br>39 readings   |
|  | Calvert Bluff   | Number of Monitoring Wells/Number    | 9 wells/8 monitored<br>8 readings  |
|  | Carrizo   | Number of Monitoring<br>Wells/Number | 7 wells/1 monitored<br>8 readings  |
|  | Hooper  | Number of Monitoring<br>Wells/Number | 16 wells/1 monitored<br>9 readings   |
|  | Queen City  | Number of Monitoring<br>Wells/Number | 3 wells/2 monitored<br>2 readings  |

|   | Simsboro   | Number of Monitoring    | 52 wells/4 monitored                                |
|---|--|-------------------------|---|
|   |  | Wells/Number            | 53 readings   |
|   | Sparta   | Number of Monitoring    | 20 wells/20 monitored                               |
|   |  | Wells/Number            | 20 readings   |
|   | Yegua-Jackson  | Number of Monitoring    | 11 wells/8 monitored                                |
|   |  | Wells/Number            | 8 readings  |
|   |  | Total number of well    | 148 combined readings for all aquifers January      |
|   |  | year-to-date monitor    | through December, 2016                              |
|   |  | readings                |   |
| 6c: Assist in obtaining grant funds for the           | Number of meetings hel   | d with State Agencies   |   |
| implementation of water conservation methods.         |  |                         |   |
|   | Number of meetings hel   | d with Federal Agencies |   |
|   | Number of meetings of t  | the Water Conservation  | 1–2-3-16 to discuss program parameters and make     |
|   | Enhancement subcommittee  Number of water conservation grant applications received |                         | recommendations/review 8 proposals                  |
|   |  |                         | 8 applications received by 12-31-15                 |
|   |  |                         |   |
|   | Number of water conser   | vation grants approved  | 3 – The City of College Station, City of Bryan, and |
|   | by Board of Directors  |                         | Wickson Creek SUD were granted funds (\$39,500) by  |
|   |  |                         | the BVGCD to maintain ET weather stations, remote   |
|   |  |                         | rain gauges, and a website to inform public on lawn |
|   |  |                         | irrigation recommendations (2015).                  |
| 7a: Develop baseline water quality data and a         | Water quality tests conducted  |                         | Long term water quality reports taken by the TWDB   |
| system for continued evaluation of groundwater        | 111 17 17 11111111111111111111111111111  |                         | compiled by LBG-Guyton. Will be summarized for      |
| quality.  |  |                         | Board use. BVGCD water quality information made     |
| . ,   |  |                         | available from TWDB will be incorporated into the   |
|   |  |                         | inactive ArcGIS web portal.                         |
| 7b: Require all water permittees that are subject to  | Number of wells requiring well vulnerability                                       |                         | 0   |
| well vulnerability studies prior to constructing a    | studies.   |                         |   |
| well to provide evidence of the study to the District |  |                         |   |
| prior to construction.                                |  |                         |   |
| F   | Number of well vulnerability studies received.  Well plugging efforts*             |                         | 0   |
|   |  |                         | 0 well plugging contracts in 2016                   |
|   | 1. 100 0 1 3.10  |                         | , 55 6 11 11 11                                     |
|   |  |                         |   |
|   |  |                         |   |

|   | Number of water Permittees provided with wellhead protection information. Conduct in-school presentation addressing aquifer contamination and protection. | All well owners (exempt and non-exempt) that have drilled, permitted, or registered a well on or after January 1, 2014 were sent 2 information sheets detailing new owner responsibilities and well head protection information. All subsequent new well or newly registered well owners are provided the same well head protection brochures. Taught aquifer protection to 65 5 <sup>th</sup> grade students at Neal Elem. 1-12-16; 80 5 <sup>th</sup> at Sam Houston Elem. 1-15-16; 80 5 <sup>th</sup> at Navarro Elem. 2-8-16; 45 5 <sup>th</sup> grade at Mumford Elem. 2-19-16; 120 4 <sup>th</sup> grade at South Knoll Elem. 2-25-16; 114 4 <sup>th</sup> grade at Rock Prairie Elem. 3-7 & 3-8-16; 120 4 <sup>th</sup> grade at Green's Prairie Elem. 3-9 & 3-10-16; |
|---|---|--|
| 8a: Evaluate water level monitoring data and determine if change conforms to adopted DFCs for | Once every 3 years (for each aquifer):  • Report water level data obtained  |  |
| each aquifer.   | Average artesian head change  |  |
|   | Comparison of changes to DFCs   |  |
|   | Progress on conforming to DFCs  Once applied (for each aguifor):  | Dresented to the Deard at each Deard meeting in a  |
|   | Once annually (for each aquifer):   | Presented to the Board at each Board meeting in a spreadsheet format.  |
|   | <ul><li>Report total permitted GW production</li><li>Report total estimated annual GW</li></ul>   | spicausifect format.   |
|   | production  |  |
|   | Compare this data to the MAG  |  |